

Challenges of Implementing Reverse Logistics in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)

Sami Ahmed Faraj Al-Huzaili^{#1}, Ahmad Irdha Bin Mokhtar^{*2}, Madya Razaleigh Bin Muhamat^{#3}

[#]*Institute of Islam Hadhari, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Malaysia
Sultanate of Oman*

¹p135123@siswa.ukm.edu.my

²irdha@ukm.edu.my

³raza@ukm.edu.my

Received Jul 31, 2025, **Revised:** Aug 06, 2025, **Accepted:** Aug 08, 2025, **Published Online:** Aug 30, 2025

Reviewers: Anonymous Peer Review

Citation: Al-Huzaili, S. A. F., Mokhtar, A. I. B., Al- Muhamat, M. R. B., (2025). Challenges of Implementing Reverse Logistics in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*. Vol. 14, No. 4, 55-63, <https://doi.org/10.59160/ijscm.v14i4.6331>

Abstract— Reverse coordination is an essential component of sustainable supply chain management, enabling businesses to recover value from returned products while minimizing environmental impact. While large corporations have increasingly integrated reverse coordination into their operations, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) often face considerable barriers that hinder implementation. This study explores the key challenges SMEs encounter in adopting reverse coordination, using a qualitative methodology that combines secondary data analysis with semi-structured interviews conducted with logistics managers from ten SMEs across diverse sectors. The findings reveal six primary obstacles: financial constraints, lack of technical expertise, inadequate infrastructure, weak regulatory pressure, low customer awareness, and organizational resistance to change. These barriers are interconnected and reflect both internal limitations and external systemic factors. Despite these challenges, the research underscores the strategic potential of reverse logistics for SMEs, including cost savings, regulatory compliance, improved customer satisfaction, and new revenue opportunities. The study concludes with practical recommendations to support SMEs in overcoming these challenges—highlighting the need for government incentives, targeted training, affordable technologies, collaborative logistics networks, and enhanced customer engagement. Addressing these issues through coordinated efforts can help SMEs realize the full value of reverse logistics and contribute to a more circular and sustainable economy.

Keywords: Reverse logistics, SMEs, sustainable supply chain, implementation barriers, qualitative research, customer awareness, logistics infrastructure.

1. Introduction

The rapid evolution of global markets, coupled with growing environmental awareness and pressure from stakeholders, has transformed traditional supply chain models. In this context, reverse logistics has emerged as a pivotal function in achieving sustainable supply chain management. Unlike conventional logistics, which focuses on the flow of goods from producers to consumers, reverse logistics deals with the backward flow—encompassing the return of products for reasons such as defects, end-of-life disposal, reuse, remanufacturing, recycling, and safe disposal. As industries shift toward circular economy models, reverse logistics is no longer considered optional but essential for reducing environmental impact, enhancing resource efficiency, and fulfilling corporate social responsibility (CSR) obligations[1].

Large corporations have responded to these shifts by integrating sophisticated reverse logistics systems, supported by advanced technologies, dedicated teams, and strong financial backing. These companies often view reverse logistics as an opportunity to reclaim value from returned products, improve customer satisfaction, and differentiate themselves in increasingly eco-conscious markets. In contrast, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) which constitute over 90% of businesses globally and play a critical role in innovation and employment—continue to struggle with the adoption and effective execution of reverse logistics practices. Their relatively limited resources,

both financial and human, often hinder their ability to embrace reverse logistics despite their long-term strategic benefits [2].

The challenges facing SMEs are multifaceted. Financial constraints are often the most cited barrier, as implementing a reverse logistics system may require upfront investment in infrastructure, technology, and training. Unlike large corporations that can absorb these costs or secure funding through established channels, SMEs may find it difficult to justify such expenditures, especially when the return on investment is uncertain or delayed. In addition, many SMEs lack the technical expertise or managerial know-how needed to design and operate efficient reverse logistics systems. This knowledge gap extends beyond logistics to include regulatory compliance, environmental standards, and data analytics—key areas for ensuring the effectiveness and sustainability of reverse logistics operations [3].

Infrastructure limitations further complicate matters. Many SMEs operate in environments with inadequate access to collection facilities, recycling centers, or logistics networks capable of handling reverse flows. This logistical gap increases operational costs and reduces efficiency, making reverse logistics appear burdensome rather than beneficial. Moreover, low levels of customer awareness and participation in product return or recycling initiatives pose significant obstacles. Without active consumer engagement, SMEs are unable to generate the volume and consistency required for viable reverse logistics processes [4].

Despite these challenges, the potential benefits of reverse logistics for SMEs are substantial. Beyond environmental gains, reverse logistics can offer economic advantages, including cost savings through reuse of materials, new revenue streams from refurbished products, improved inventory control, and enhanced brand reputation. Furthermore, regulatory trends and consumer preferences are increasingly favoring businesses that demonstrate environmental responsibility, suggesting that SMEs that overcome these challenges could gain a competitive edge[5].

This study seeks to examine the specific barriers that inhibit SMEs from successfully implementing reverse logistics. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature, case studies, and interviews with SME logistics managers, the research aims to identify common pain points and propose actionable strategies

tailored to the unique needs and constraints of small and medium enterprises. By doing so, the study contributes to the ongoing discourse on sustainable business practices and offers practical insights that can assist SMEs in transitioning toward more responsible and circular operational models [6].

In addressing these objectives, the research not only highlights the difficulties SMEs face but also emphasizes the untapped potential of reverse logistics as a tool for resilience, innovation, and sustainability in the SME sector.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Definition and Scope of Reverse Logistics

Reverse logistics is a critical component of supply chain management that focuses on the backward flow of goods from the end user back to the origin or to a point where they can be reused, remanufactured, recycled, or properly disposed of. Rogers and Tibben-Lembke (1999) define reverse logistics as “the process of planning, implementing, and controlling the efficient, cost-effective flow of raw materials, in-process inventory, finished goods, and related information from the point of consumption to the point of origin for the purpose of recapturing value or proper disposal.” This definition highlights the dual objective of reverse logistics: value recovery and environmental sustainability [7].

The scope of reverse logistics has expanded significantly in recent years, moving beyond simple return policies to encompass a wide array of activities. These include:

- **Product Returns and Exchanges:** Handling customer returns due to defects, dissatisfaction, warranty claims, or product recalls.
- **Recycling and Waste Management:** Collecting used products, packaging materials, and production scraps for recycling or environmentally compliant disposal.
- **Remanufacturing and Refurbishment:** Recovering used or damaged goods and restoring them to like-new conditions for resale or reuse.
- **Reuse and Redistribution:** Reintegrating returned items or unused goods into inventory for resale or donation.

- **Asset Recovery:** Retrieving valuable components or materials from obsolete or end-of-life products to minimize waste and reduce raw material consumption.

Reverse coordination plays a pivotal role in supporting circular economy models, where resources are reused and waste is minimized through closed-loop systems. In this context, reverse coordination contributes not only to cost reduction but also to environmental protection, regulatory compliance, and enhanced brand image [8].

The rise in e-commerce has also expanded the practical scope of reverse coordination. With increasing online purchases, the volume of returns has surged, placing greater emphasis on effective reverse coordination systems that ensure smooth customer experiences while minimizing costs. This shift has made reverse coordination a competitive differentiator, especially in industries such as electronics, fashion, automotive, and consumer goods. In small and medium enterprises (SMEs), however, the adoption of reverse coordination remains relatively limited due to structural and resource-related challenges. Unlike large corporations, SMEs often lack the financial flexibility, technological infrastructure, and specialized personnel needed to manage complex reverse coordination operations. Nevertheless, the potential benefits—such as cost savings, customer satisfaction, regulatory compliance, and environmental sustainability—make reverse coordination an increasingly important area of study and practice for SMEs aiming to build resilient and responsible supply chains [9].

In summary, reverse coordination is a broad, multidimensional field that involves the strategic management of product flows in the opposite direction of traditional distribution. It intersects operational efficiency, sustainability, and customer service, and its successful implementation can offer a wide range of economic and environmental benefits, especially when integrated into the core business strategy of SMEs.

2.2 Importance of Reverse Logistics for SMEs

Reverse coordination holds increasing strategic importance for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in a global business environment that increasingly values sustainability, efficiency, and customer-centric practices. While traditionally associated with large-scale manufacturers and retailers, reverse coordination

has become a critical consideration for SMEs seeking to enhance operational performance, comply with regulatory standards, and remain competitive in rapidly evolving markets. One of the primary benefits of reverse coordination for SMEs is **cost reduction**. By recovering valuable components from returned, defective, or end-of-life products, businesses can reduce material costs and minimize waste disposal expenses. For instance, refurbishing or repairing returned goods can extend product life cycles and reduce the need for purchasing new inventory. This not only lowers direct costs but also mitigates supply chain disruptions, especially in environments where raw material availability is volatile or costly [10].

Additionally, regulatory compliance is a growing concern for SMEs across various industries, particularly in regions with stringent environmental legislation such as the European Union's Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) Directive or Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) laws. Effective reverse coordination systems enable SMEs to manage product take-backs, recycling, and safe disposal in line with legal requirements, thereby avoiding fines, reputational damage, and operational disruptions [11].

Customer satisfaction and brand loyalty are also positively influenced by reverse coordination capabilities. A well-structured returns process enhances customer trust, offering buyers flexibility and assurance in case of defective or unsuitable products. This is especially vital in e-commerce-driven business models, where return rates are typically high. SMEs that can offer convenient and responsive return options are more likely to retain customers, receive positive reviews, and generate repeat business [12].

Moreover, reverse coordination presents new revenue generation opportunities. Through remanufacturing, reconditioning, or reselling returned products, especially in sectors such as electronics, furniture, and fashion—SMEs can create secondary markets that add value to otherwise discarded items. This not only diversifies income streams but also aligns with circular economic principles, contributing to environmental sustainability and corporate social responsibility goals. Implementing reverse coordination can also serve as a differentiating factor for SMEs in competitive markets. Businesses that actively promote sustainable practices, including product take-back

schemes or eco-friendly disposal, may gain a marketing advantage and appeal to environmentally conscious consumers. In addition, supply chain partners and corporate clients increasingly assess sustainability performance when selecting suppliers or collaborators, making reverse coordination a factor in broader business development efforts[13].

Despite the challenges associated with its adoption, reverse coordination can thus offer SMEs a range of strategic, operational, and reputational benefits. When aligned with business goals and supported by proper planning and resources, reverse coordination becomes not just a reactive function, but a proactive tool for enhancing resilience, value recovery, and long-term competitiveness.

2.3 Previous Studies on Challenges

A growing body of literature has examined the implementation of reverse coordination and the barriers that hinder its effective adoption across industries. While many of these studies provide valuable insights into the strategic, technical, and organizational obstacles encountered during reverse coordination integration, the majority focus on large enterprises, leaving a noticeable research gap in the context of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). conducted an in-depth case study of Malaysian SMEs and identified several critical barriers to reverse coordination implementation, including limited financial resources, inadequate government support, lack of managerial expertise, and weak supply chain integration. The study emphasized that SMEs often operate in reactive rather than strategic modes, making them less likely to proactively invest in reverse coordination infrastructure or training. Similarly, [14].in their study of manufacturing firms in a developing country, highlighted resource scarcity, poor awareness, and the absence of regulatory enforcement as major constraints.

[15]. added a strategic perspective by analyzing how reverse coordination affects the broader business operations of SMEs. Their research found that while reverse coordination has the potential to create competitive advantages, many SMEs perceive it as an operational burden rather than a value-generating function. This perception is often reinforced by a lack of skilled personnel, underdeveloped IT systems, and limited collaboration with coordination partners.

In the Chinese context, [16]. investigated the challenges in the manufacturing sector and identified

critical internal and external barriers, including resistance to change, lack of top management commitment, and inadequate policy incentives. Although focused on large firms, the findings echo into many of the structural issues faced by SMEs in emerging markets.

[17] expanded the discussion to the integration of Industry 4.0 technologies with sustainable reverse coordination, pointing out that despite the promise of smart systems and automation, the digital divide and technological immaturity in many SMEs limit their ability to leverage these advancements.

Other studies have proposed structured approaches to identifying and prioritizing solutions.[18]. used hybrid multi-criteria decision-making methods to rank reverse coordination barriers and recommended targeted solutions such as stakeholder training, strategic outsourcing, and performance monitoring. Their approach emphasizes the importance of contextualizing implementation strategies based on organizational size and resource availability.

From a sustainability standpoint, [19].explored the relationship between reverse coordination and green practices among Slovak enterprises. Their findings revealed that environmental awareness among SMEs is growing, but practical implementation remains low due to inadequate infrastructure, insufficient policy incentives, and limited market demand for refurbished or recycled products.

Collectively, these studies confirm that while the benefits of reverse coordination are well-documented—such as cost savings, regulatory compliance, and environmental stewardship—SMEs continue to face disproportionate challenges in implementation. These include structural limitations (e.g., lack of capital and skills), strategic gaps (e.g., absence of long-term planning), and ecosystem constraints (e.g., weak reverse supply chain networks and low customer involvement).

The present study builds upon these findings by focusing specifically on the lived experiences of SMEs and exploring how these barriers manifest in real-world operations. By integrating perspectives from recent case studies and scholarly analyses, it aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the systemic and contextual factors that impede reverse coordination in small and medium enterprises.

3. Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to explore the challenges faced by small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in implementing reverse coordination. Given the complex, context-dependent nature of reverse coordination practices in SMEs, a qualitative methodology is well-suited to uncover in-depth insights, understand managerial perceptions, and identify patterns that may not be evident through quantitative methods alone.

3.1 Research Design

The research design is exploratory and interpretive, aimed at gaining a nuanced understanding of the barriers hindering reverse coordination adoption within SMEs. The study triangulates findings from both secondary sources and primary data collected through semi-structured interviews. This dual approach allows for a more comprehensive view of the topic by integrating existing theoretical knowledge with real-world insights from practitioners.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

3.2.1 Secondary Data

Secondary data was collected through a systematic review of academic journals, industry reports, conference proceedings, and government publications. The literature review focused on studies related to reverse coordination, SME supply chain practices, sustainability challenges, and implementation frameworks. This data provided a theoretical foundation and helped in shaping the interview questions and analytical framework.

3.2.2 Primary Data – Semi-Structured Interviews

Primary data was gathered through semi-structured interviews conducted with 10 coordination managers from SMEs operating in various sectors, including manufacturing, electronics, apparel, and consumer goods. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure a diverse representation of industries and logistical practices. Each interview lasted approximately 45–60 minutes and was conducted either in person or via virtual platforms, depending on availability and location.

The interview guide included open-ended questions focusing on:

- The company's current reverse coordination practices

- Perceived benefits and strategic value of reverse coordination
- Operational, financial, and organizational challenges faced
- External factors such as customer behavior, policy influence, and infrastructure
- Suggestions and strategies to overcome existing barriers

All interviews were audio-recorded (with participant consent), transcribed, and anonymized to maintain confidentiality and ethical research standards.

3.3 Data Analysis

The transcribed interviews were analyzed using **thematic analysis**, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase framework:

1. Familiarization with the data
2. Generating initial codes
3. Searching for themes
4. Reviewing themes
5. Defining and naming themes
6. Producing the report

This method enabled the identification of recurring patterns, emergent themes, and critical insights across different SME contexts. NVivo software was used to support coding, categorization, and visualization of the qualitative data.

Key themes that emerged included:

- Financial and resource constraints
- Lack of technological infrastructure
- Low consumer participation in product returns
- Limited government incentives and regulatory support
- Strategic misalignment between reverse coordination and core business goals

3.4 Trustworthiness and Rigor

To ensure the trustworthiness of the study, several strategies were employed:

- **Credibility:** Achieved through data triangulation (interviews + secondary sources) and member checking, where participants reviewed summaries of their responses.
- **Transferability:** Rich descriptions of the research context and participants allow for applicability to similar SME environments.

- Dependability: An audit trail documenting decisions made during data collection and analysis was maintained.
- Confirmability: Researcher bias was minimized through reflexive journaling and peer debriefing.

4. Findings and Discussion

Thematic analysis of interviews with SME coordination managers, supported by secondary data, revealed six core themes that encapsulate the challenges SMEs face in implementing reverse coordination. These findings shed light on the complex interplay between internal limitations, external pressures, and contextual factors that influence reverse coordination practices in small and medium-sized enterprises.

4.1 Financial Constraints

One of the most frequently cited barriers across all interviews was the financial limitation inherent in SME operations. Unlike large firms with dedicated budgets for sustainability initiatives, SMEs typically operate on tight margins. The initial investment required to establish reverse coordination systems—including dedicated storage for returned goods, additional transportation routes, return-processing infrastructure, and specialized staff—was viewed as cost-prohibitive by many participants [21]. Moreover, SMEs are unable to benefit from economies of scale, which leads to higher per-unit costs for handling returns. As one coordination manager noted, “Returning a single product sometimes costs us more than the product’s value, especially when transportation is involved.” This economic imbalance discourages proactive reverse coordination strategies and often results in ad hoc or reactive handling of returns.

4.2 Lack of Technical Expertise

A significant internal barrier to implementation is the absence of coordination knowledge and technical capability. Several SMEs reported a lack of in-house expertise in managing reverse coordination, with some relying solely on basic inventory systems that do not support return tracking or processing [20]. Access to professional training programs, digital reverse coordination tools, and strategic planning resources is limited, especially for smaller or rural enterprises. Without structured knowledge of return flow

optimization, many SMEs view reverse coordination as too complex or operationally disruptive. This gap in capability contributes to inconsistent practices and missed opportunities for value recovery.

4.3 Inadequate Infrastructure

Infrastructure limitations present another major obstacle. Many SMEs lack dedicated warehousing space to segregate, and process returned or recyclable items. In addition, they often operate with lean physical and digital infrastructure, making it difficult to manage reverse flows in an organized and traceable manner.

Furthermore, several participants expressed frustration with coordination service providers, many of whom do not offer affordable or SME-specific reverse coordination solutions. The absence of flexible, scalable coordination support forces SMEs to rely on inefficient manual processes or avoid returns altogether unless absolutely necessary[22].

4.4 Weak Regulatory Pressure

Unlike large corporations that face formal regulatory enforcement and environmental audits, SMEs often operate under minimal governmental scrutiny. This regulatory leniency reduces the incentive to adopt sustainable practices, including reverse coordination. Many participants admitted that environmental policies are either poorly communicated or inconsistently enforced in the SME sector. As a result, sustainability remains a low priority in strategic decision-making, particularly in the absence of customer demand or market incentives. This finding is consistent with previous studies [23], which suggest that policy gaps contribute to a lack of urgency among SMEs to adopt circular economy practices.

4.5 Low Customer Awareness

Another theme that emerged strongly is limited customer awareness of return and recycling programs. Many SME customers are unaware that such programs exist or do not see the value in participating. For SMEs, this lack of engagement further undermines the economic viability of reverse coordination. Additionally, limited marketing resources prevent SMEs from effectively educating their customers on return policies, recycling benefits, or sustainability initiatives. One participant remarked, “We barely have a budget for advertising new products, let alone explain why someone should return an old one.” This communication gap weakens consumer participation,

which is critical for building a functioning reverse supply chain.

4.6 Cultural and Organizational Resistance

Finally, organizational culture and leadership attitudes play a key role in shaping reverse coordination readiness. In many SMEs, there is a prevailing perception that reverse coordination is a non-essential or burdensome process, rather than a strategic opportunity. This cultural resistance is often reinforced by a lack of awareness about the long-term benefits of sustainability or innovation in coordination practices. Some SME owners and managers expressed concern that integrating reverse coordination would complicate operations and detract from core business functions. This resistance to change, particularly in traditional or family-owned enterprises, hinders the adoption of new systems and technologies that could otherwise enhance efficiency and compliance. The findings indicate that the implementation of reverse coordination in SMEs is hindered by a combination of economic, technical, infrastructural, regulatory, and behavioral barriers. These challenges are often interlinked—financial constraints limit access to expertise and infrastructure, while low customer awareness and weak regulatory pressure reduce perceived necessity. Overcoming these barriers will require targeted interventions at both the organizational and policy levels, including awareness campaigns, financial incentives, capacity building, and the development of SME-friendly coordination solutions (Covina's, 2020).

5. Conclusion

Reverse coordination presents a valuable opportunity for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) to enhance sustainability, reduce operational costs, improve customer satisfaction, and align with global environmental standards. As circular economy principles gain momentum and consumer expectations shift toward eco-conscious business practices, reverse coordination is becoming a strategic imperative rather than an optional add-on. However, despite its potential benefits, the implementation of reverse coordination in the SME sector remains limited and fragmented. This study has highlighted the key challenges that hinder effective adoption, including financial constraints, lack of technical expertise, inadequate infrastructure, weak regulatory pressure, low customer awareness,

and cultural resistance. These barriers are often interrelated, compounding the difficulty for SMEs to develop and sustain reverse coordination systems.

Addressing these challenges requires a multi-level approach. At the organizational level, SMEs must engage in strategic planning that recognizes reverse coordination as a value-generating function rather than a cost center. Investments in employee training, modest digital tools, and internal awareness campaigns can foster a culture that embraces innovation and sustainability. At the industry level, collaboration with coordination service providers is essential. Third-party providers can offer scalable, cost-effective reverse coordination solutions tailored to the needs of smaller businesses. Public-private partnerships can also play a role in building shared infrastructure and knowledge platforms.

From a policy perspective, government support is critical. Regulatory clarity, financial incentives, and educational initiatives targeting SMEs can drive greater compliance and voluntary participation. Policymakers must also ensure that environmental regulations are communicated effectively and enforced equitably across enterprises of all sizes. In conclusion, while SMEs face notable constraints in implementing reverse coordination, these challenges are not insurmountable. With coordinated efforts among businesses, service providers, and policymakers, reverse coordination can become a feasible and impactful element of SME supply chain contributing to both business resilience and environmental sustainability.

6. Recommendations

- ✓ Offer financial incentives such as grants, tax reductions, or low-interest loans for SMEs adopting reverse coordination.
- ✓ Develop regulatory frameworks that are supportive, realistic, and enforceable for small businesses.
- ✓ Launch national or regional programs to promote sustainable practices in the SME sector.
- ✓ Provide accessible workshops, online courses, and toolkits focused on reverse coordination management.

- ✓ Collaborate with universities, chambers of commerce, and coordination associations to deliver training tailored to SMEs.
- ✓ Raise awareness among SME owners about the long-term business benefits of reverse coordination.
- ✓ Encourage SMEs to use low-cost digital tools (e.g., inventory software, return tracking apps, cloud-based platforms).
- ✓ Provide technical assistance or subsidies to help SMEs invest in appropriate coordination technologies.
- ✓ Promote case studies of successful SME implementations to demonstrate feasibility.
- ✓ Facilitate partnerships among SMEs to share warehousing, return processing, and transportation facilities.
- ✓ Form local reverse coordination cooperatives to reduce operational costs.
- ✓ Support knowledge-sharing networks and platforms for exchanging best practices.
- ✓ Create simple, transparent, and easy-to-follow return and recycling policies.

References

- [1] Rogers, D. S., & Tibben-Lembke, R. S. (1999). *Going Backwards: Reverse Logistics Trends and Practices*. Reverse Logistics Executive Council.
- [2] Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2015). Reverse coordination and closed-loop supply chain: A comprehensive review to explore the future. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 240(3), 603-626.
- [3] Abdulrahman, M. D., Gunasekaran, A., & Subramanian, N. (2014). Critical barriers in implementing reverse coordination in the Chinese manufacturing sectors. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 147, 460-471.
- [4] European Commission. (2020). *SME Strategy for a sustainable and digital Europe*.
- [5] Abdulrahman, M. D., Gunasekaran, A., & Subramanian, N. (2014). Critical barriers in implementing reverse logistics in the Chinese manufacturing sectors. *International journal of production economics*, 147, 460-471.
- [6] Coveñas Manyari, P. D. (2020). Impact of reverse logistics practices and competitiveness in medium and small textile sector companies in Lima metropolitan.
- [7] Epoh, L. R., & Mafini, C. (2018). Green supply chain management in small and medium enterprises: Further empirical thoughts from South Africa. *Journal of Transport and Supply Chain Management*, 12(1), 1-12.
- [8] Lekkakos, S. D., & Serrano, A. (2016). Supply chain finance for small and medium sized enterprises: the case of reverse factoring. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 46(4).
- [9] Mafini, C., & Loury-Okoumba, W. V. (2018). Extending green supply chain management activities to manufacturing small and medium enterprises in a developing economy. *South African Journal of Economic and Management Sciences*, 21(1), 1-12.
- [10] Malá, D., Sedliačiková, M., Dušák, M., Kaščáková, A., Musová, Z., & Klementová, J. (2017). Green Logistics in the Context of Sustainable Development in Small and Medium Enterprises. *Wood Industry/Drvna Industrija*, 68(1).
- [11] Omoruyi, O., & Chinomona, E. (2019, September). Examining the effect of reverse logistics, green logistics and green innovation practices on firm performance: a case of SMES in Gauteng Province. In *31st annual conference of the Southern African Institute for Management Scientists (saims)* (p. 312).
- [12] Pawar, A., Kolte, A., Sangvikar, B. V., & Jain, S. (2024). Analysis of reverse logistics functions of small and medium enterprises: the evaluation of strategic business operations. *Global Business Review*, 25(5), 1129-1149.
- [13] Pawar, A., Kolte, A., Sangvikar, B. V., & Jain, S. (2024). Analysis of reverse logistics functions of small and medium enterprises: the evaluation of strategic business operations. *Global Business Review*, 25(5), 1129-1149.
- [14] Pourmehdi, M., Paydar, M. M., Ghadimi, P., & Azadnia, A. H. (2022). Analysis and evaluation of challenges in the integration of Industry 4.0 and sustainable steel reverse logistics network. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 163, 107808.
- [15] Prajapati, H., Kant, R., & Shankar, R. (2019). Prioritizing the solutions of reverse logistics

- implementation to mitigate its barriers: A hybrid modified SWARA and WASPAS approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 240, 118219.
- [16] Prajapati, H., Kant, R., & Shankar, R. (2019). Prioritizing the solutions of reverse logistics implementation to mitigate its barriers: A hybrid modified SWARA and WASPAS approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 240, 118219.
- [17] Rahmat, M. A. I., Razak, N. S. A., Deraman, S., & Alshurideh, M. (2023). Barriers to Reverse Logistic on Implementation of Reverse Logistic: A Case of Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprise. In *The Effect of Information Technology on Business and Marketing Intelligence Systems* (pp. 2307-2324). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [18] Rahmat, M. A. I., Razak, N. S. A., Deraman, S., & Alshurideh, M. (2023). Barriers to Reverse Logistic on Implementation of Reverse Logistic: A Case of Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprise. In *The Effect of Information Technology on Business and Marketing Intelligence Systems* (pp. 2307-2324). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [19] Richnák, P., & Gubová, K. (2021). Green and reverse logistics in conditions of sustainable development in enterprises in Slovakia. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 581.
- [20] Richnák, P., & Gubová, K. (2021). Green and reverse logistics in conditions of sustainable development in enterprises in Slovakia. *Sustainability*, 13(2), 581.
- [21] Satyanarayana, A. V., & Venugopal, K. (2019). *The Impact of Reverse Logistics on Quality Improvement in Manufacturing Industry: In Case of Small and Medium Enterprises of Srikakulam, Andhra Pradesh, India*. SSRN.
- [22] Waqas, M., Dong, Q. L., Ahmad, N., Zhu, Y., & Nadeem, M. (2018). Critical barriers to implementation of reverse logistics in the manufacturing industry: a case study of a developing country. *Sustainability*, 10(11), 4202.
- [23] Waqas, M., Dong, Q. L., Ahmad, N., Zhu, Y., & Nadeem, M. (2018). Critical barriers to implementation of reverse logistics in the manufacturing industry: a case study of a developing country. *Sustainability*, 10(11), 4202.